

Shared National Credit September 2024 Reporting Instructions FAQs

The following questions have been asked by several banks regarding the new SNC Reporting Instructions. If your question is not answered, please submit an email to: <u>SYS.SR.SNC.Technology.Office@frb.gov</u>.

| Subject/Field | | Question | Response |
|---------------|----------------|---|---|
| | Basic vs. | Currently, there are 2 sets of SNC Reporting | The reporting obligation of Basic Reporters is not being |
| | Expanded | Instructions, one for Basic Reporters and one | increased to commensurate with those of Expanded |
| | Reporters | for Expanded Reporters. However, for the 2024 | Reporters. Apart from providing information on syndicated |
| | | SNC Reporting Instructions there is a single | credits in which they participate, the previous SNC Reporting |
| | | version without reference to Basic vs. | instructions for Basic and Expanded reporters was nearly |
| | | Expanded Reporters. | identical. Therefore, to simplify, only one version of the new |
| | | | SNC Reporting Instructions was created. |
| | | Firms would like the Agencies to confirm that | |
| Process | | the reporting obligations of Basic Reporters | We also replaced the concept of Basic and Expanded |
| Ce | | are not being increased to be commensurate | Reporters with a 'SNC Reporter Profile', as described on |
| LO L | | with those of Expanded Reporters, which | page 8 of the new SNC Reporting Instructions. Only firms |
| | | would require reporting on all SNC syndicated | that are currently identified as Expanded Reporters will be |
| Ъб | | credits in which they are a participant. Such a | expected to continue to provide information on syndicated |
| Reporting | | change would significantly increase the | credits in which they participate. |
| or | | burden on current Basic Reporters if | |
| de | | implemented and would require substantial | As part of the onboarding process, a Reporter Profile will be |
| R | | additional time to modify systems. | created and shared with each firm. |
| | Reconciliation | According to the new 2024 Instructions, | Firms will be presented with a list of credits from the |
| | Process | "Starting with the September 30th, 2024, | previous report date that are not reported in the current date, |
| | | reporting period, institutions will be required | based on the Internal Credit IDs. For each credit, firms will |
| | | to provide a reconciliation of credits reported | need to select the reason why the credit was not reported |
| | | in the prior reporting period that are not | (multi-select will be available). If the Internal Credit ID was |
| | | included in the current reporting period. This | misreported, there will also be an option to select an Internal |
| | | reconciliation will require the institution to | Credit ID reported in an earlier date. |
| | | identify each credit as paid off, charged off, | |



| | Subject/Field | Question | Response |
|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | cancelled, restructured, or no longer meets the qualifications of a SNC." Firms would appreciate clarification on where and how to report this reconciliation. Current | Based on the interest in this process, we will include additional information in the reporting instructions. We will also provide additional information at upcoming informational and training sessions. |
| | Descration | instructions are unclear as to where firms are to provide this information. | A worldow has been finalized. For the first valueses, or option |
| | Reconciliation Process | In terms of reconciliation of loans dropped quarter-on-quarter, is there a workflow finalized? We would like to propose that an | A workflow has been finalized. For the first release, an option to upload a list of reasons why credits were not reported will not be available. However, we will consider this option for a |
| | And Internal Credit ID Reporting | xml upload option be provided (similar to how SNC reports are submitted on the portal) that allows our firm to choose from a specific list of | future enhancement. |
| Renorting Process | | causes of credits dropping off. Currently the provided acceptable reason for loans dropping off are paid off, charged off, cancelled, restructured, or no longer meets the qualifications of a SNC. | When new credit IDs are generated internally, but do not meet the qualifications for a "new" credit, the new Internal ID is reported along with the Previous Internal ID. Please review the 'Reporting the Internal Credit ID/Previous Internal Credit ID' starting on Page 13 of the new SNC Reporting Instructions for details and examples of the correct way to report Internal Credit IDs. |
| Renord | | Below are the cases when credit ID is changed internally at our firm. Would all these be covered under 'restructured' as reasons for dropping off or would there be another category such as 'Other' to cover these reasons for credit ID changes resulting in dropping off quarter on quarter? | The reconciliation workflow will allow a user to reassign the Previous Internal Credit ID if incorrectly reported in the XML upload; more details will be provided when training materials are made available. |
| | | Certain Modifications Rebooking Certain exceptional amendment Maturity extensions | Please note - the Reconciliation option 'Restructured' has been modified to "Restructured with Material Change" to better align with the reporting instructions. |



| Subject/Field | Question | Response |
|--|--|---|
| Seconciliation Process Mandated Charge-offs | Could you please also help us with the SNC definitions of the different categories (paid off, charged off, cancelled, restructured with material change)? There appears to be a new way to report charge-offs: • The "Cumulative Charge-offs" field is being eliminated effective 9/30/24. • The updated method, beginning on Page 15, has confused a number of us, so I wanted to know if there will be training on | The expectation is that the category selected appropriately describes why credits from the previous quarter are no longer reported. In general, the SNC Program defines these categories as: Paid Off – refers to a credit that was paid in full by the obligor and no longer exists Cancelled – refers to a credit agreement that was cancelled with the credit having no outstanding balance and the credit no longer exists Restructured with a material change – refers to a credit that has been refinanced or restructured with a material change. The credit still exists; it should be reported using a new Internal Credit ID. Per page 13 of the new SNC Reporting Instructions, one example of a material change is defined as a 20% increase or decrease to the Global Committed amount. Additional examples will be provided when an updated version of the instructions is posted. Charged Off – refers to a credit where the regulated lending group recognized a loss and the credit no longer exists, or a loss was mandated by a SNC exam and you are no longer required to report the credit, as described on page 15 of the new SNC Reporting Instructions. |
| | how we're supposed to be reporting this. Despite the examples in the instructions, it still wasn't clear. | |

3



| S | ubject/Field | Question | Response |
|--------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | Use of | Several of the reporting fields in the new 2024 | As stated on page 19 of the new SNC Reporting Instructions, |
| | EBITDAR vs. | instructions include language instructing the | the calculations and examples provided are not required, |
| | EBITDA | use of EBITDAR in place of EDITDA if the | rather, they are guidelines to promote consistency across |
| | | company is materially impacted by rental | reporting institutions. Each institution is expected to report |
| | | expense (annual rents >25% of adjusted | the calculations it utilizes; examiners will evaluate |
| | | EBITDA). | calculations for individual obligors during the SNC |
| | | Firms are interested in understanding the | examination. |
| | | rationale behind the requirement to use | |
| | | EBITDAR as the requirement is specific to | |
| | | Leverage Lending and the Interagency | |
| | | Guidance on Leverage Lending only uses | |
| | | EBITDA. Allowing firms to instead use EBITDA | |
| ios | | would be consistent with their current | |
| łat | | practices. The use of EBITDAR would add | |
| g F | | unnecessary complexity and burden to SNC | |
| din | | reporting, while also resulting in less useful | |
| enc | | information for the Agencies given the way | |
| I L | | various banks would compute EBITDAR. This | |
| gec | | stands in contrast to the more standardized | |
| Leveraged Lending Ratios | | EBITDA calculation. | |
| eve | EBITDAR vs. | Is it acceptable to use EBITDAR and relevant | Yes. Please note that the calculation examples provided on |
| Г | Adj. EBITDA | rent-adjusted metrics for all reported metrics | pages 19-22 of the new SNC Reporting Instructions are |
| | | (in place of Adj. EBITDA), rather than only | guidelines, not requirements. |
| | | utilizing when the "annual rents >25% of Adj. | |
| | Fixed Charge | EBITDA" condition is triggered? "Required Cash Dividends" – could we have | Please note that the calculation examples provided on pages |
| | Coverage Ratio | clarification on a situation where dividends | 19-22 of the new SNC Reporting Instructions are guidelines, |
| | Coverage Matto | would be considered "required," rather than | not requirements. |
| | | discretionary. | not requirements. |
| | | alsorotionary. | However, in general, if dividends or distributions from the |
| | | | obligor to the HC are the primary source of repayment for the |
| | | | HC debt, then those charges should generally be included |
| | | | within the fixed charges. If the HC has no other repayment |
| | | | |
| | | | source or is primarily dependent upon the obligor for OCF, |



| S | ubject/Field | Question | Response |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| | | | this will often be reflected in dividends/distributions itemized |
| | | | in historical financial statements and financial projections. |
| | Fixed Charge | Is there discretion to exclude Growth CAPEX | Yes, there may be limited instances where it is appropriate to |
| | Coverage Ratio | from FCCR calculation? | exclude growth CAPEX. Examiners will evaluate |
| | | | calculations for individual obligors during the SNC exam. |
| | Fixed Charge | Is PIK Interest a required input for fixed | Our expectation is that each institution will submit the ratio |
| s | Coverage Ratio | charges? How should PIK toggle be evaluated? | that most accurately reflects repayment capacity. |
| tio | Cost Savings / | Is "Cost Savings / Synergies add-backs" | The new SNC Reporting Instructions do not represent a |
| Ra | Synergies Add | intended to include non-recurring expenses / | change from current practice; Cost Savings/Synergies add- |
| ີອ | Backs as a % of | one-time costs associated with realizing future | backs needs to be reasonable and well-supported. |
| din | Adj EBITDA | cost savings? (E.g., severance costs or | |
| Leveraged Lending Ratios | (TTM) | consulting fees). Are you including non-cash items as add- | Non- each items and nonnecuming items that immedt |
| d I | Highly | backs (M&A related and/or Non-M&A related)? | Non-cash items and nonrecurring items that impact operating cash flow in a reporting period would not be a cost |
| lge | leveraged transaction | backs (Maa related and/or Non-Maa related): | savings/synergies add-back. Cost savings/synergies add- |
| ere | (HLT) data | | backs are referring to adjustments to current Adjusted |
| ,ev | (Cost | | EBITDA for items that are expected to occur in future |
| Η | Savings/Synergi | | periods. These pro forma adjustments to current Adjusted |
| | es Addbacks) | | EBITDA must be well supported and should be realized |
| | CS MUDUCKS) | | within 12 months after the transaction closing. They |
| | | | generally result from mergers and acquisitions, buyouts, |
| | | | business optimizations, etc. |
| | CRE Definition | Does the definition of Commercial Real Estate | |
| | UKE Delinition | align with the requirements of inclusion for the | In general, the SNC collection aligns with the Y-14 requirements; however, we expect CRE loans that are REITS |
| kea 1) | | FR Y-14Q Schedule H.2 ¹ ? | to be reported. Once a CRE loan is identified as a REIT, no |
| d F RE | | rK 1-14Q Schedule H.2 ? | additional ratios or information would be required. |
| cia (O | | If the designation is restricted to only those | |
| ner ate | | CRE loans which meet the definition to be | |
| mmercial Re Estate (CRE) | | included in the FR Y-14Q H.2 (CCAR CRE | |
| Commercial Real Estate (CRE) | | Submission), this would not include REITs. | |
| | | | |
| | | | 1 |

¹ FR Y-14Q Schedule H.2 Requirements: The loan population includes Commercial real estate (CRE) loans and leases that are held for investment (HFI) (as defined in the FR Y-9C, Schedule HC-C General Instructions) and held for sale (HFS) as of the report date (i.e. quarter end). Include HFI and HFS loans that the holding company has elected to report at fair value under the fair value option. Exclude all loans and leases classified as trading (reportable on the FR Y-9C, Schedule HC, item 5)."



| Subject/Field | | Question | Response |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| | CRE Definition | It appears from the instructions that the | In general, the SNC collection aligns with the Y-14 |
| | | designation as Commercial Real Estate ("CRE") | requirements, with a few exceptions: |
| | | for SNC reporting is dependent upon the | |
| | | internal designation of each reporting entity. If | 1) CRE loans that are held in trading accounts should be |
| | | that interpretation is correct, can the Agencies | reported; and |
| | | confirm that it's acceptable for intuitions to | |
| | | align the definition of CRE for SNC reporting | 2) REITS should be reported. Once a CRE loan is identified as |
| | | with the reporting population for the FR Y-14Q Schedule H.2? | a REIT, no additional ratios or information would be required. |
| | | Schedule H.2? | |
| | | Aligning the definitions of CRE fields with | |
| Ē | | those in existing reports would provide | |
| CR | | consistency across reporting entities and | |
| e (| | regulatory reports, as well as helping to | |
| tat | | streamline reporting processes and reduce the | |
| Es | | need to maintain parallel reporting systems. | |
| eal | Valuation | There are three (3) types of valuations that can | Select the evaluation that most closely resembles the current |
| l R | | be used (As-is, As-completed, As-stabilized). | state of the property. For example, if the property is |
| cia | | For cases where a firm has all three (3) types of | completed, but not stabilized, use the As-completed. If stabilized, use As-stabilized. |
| ner | | CRE Valuations present – is there a preference | stabilized, use As-stabilized. |
| nn | | for which valuation type should be used? | |
| Commercial Real Estate (CRE) | Real Estate | Should the latest property valuations reported | The amount provided should be the amount that best reflects |
| - | Valuation | be the full appraisal values, or the last desktop | the current value; as long as the reported value complies with |
| | | valuations? | the December 2, 2010, Interagency Appraisal and Evaluation |
| | | | Guidelines. |
| | REITs | Do you want the identification of the non-real | REITS should be reported. Once a CRE loan is identified as a |
| | | estate secured REIT population separate from | REIT, no additional ratios or information is required. |
| - | 5 F F F F | the Commercial Real Estate? | |
| | REITs | Can we get a more robust definition for the "or | Equivalent entities would be any entity with a portfolio of RE |
| | | equivalent (i.e. Real Estate fund, Real Estate | assets where the entity holds an equity interest in the |
| | | Business Development Company, etc.)" For example, would this include REOC, Funds, and | underlying assets and the income and value of the entity is based on portfolio distributions and consolidated portfolio |
| | | Home Builders. | valuations. |
| | | | valuations. |



| noted on page 40 of the new SNC Reporting ons, a Real Estate Investment Fund is considered nt to a REIT. I sponsors should be reported using the definition by your institution; examiners will evaluate al obligors during the SNC examination. |
|---|
| by your institution; examiners will evaluate |
| ot collect data on individuals; therefore, the only n is individuals that are sponsors. Family ses, however, are not under the same restriction. For , if John and Jane Smith is the sponsor, they should ported. If Smith Farms is the sponsor, it should be I sponsors should be reported using the definition by your institution; examiners will evaluate al obligors during the SNC examination. We do not ata on individuals; therefore, the only exclusion is als that are sponsors. Family businesses, however, inder the same restriction. For example, if John and ith is the sponsor, it should be reported. If rms is the sponsor, it should be reported. |
| da Ja U ni |



| Subject/Field | Question | Response |
|---|--|--|
| | investment strategy and expertise consistent with that of a Private Equity Firm. | |
| Sponsor Definition | Our institution only maintains records of sponsors defined as owning more than 50% of the current entity. | Sponsors owning more than 50% should be reported. However, per the new SNC Reporting Instructions, the SNC Program would like to collect sponsors that own at least 25% of the transaction. We strongly encourage adjusting your records so as to provide the requested information in future reporting periods. |
| Sponsor Definition | Our firm has a sponsor that invests pension capital and is not a private equity institution. They are not the institution involved in the capital raise or structuring of the vehicle. Do they need to be reported as a sponsor? | Generally, financial sponsors are private equity investment firms that own and/or control several unique obligors; however, sponsors should be reported using the definition utilized by your institution. Examiners will evaluate individual obligors during the SNC examination |
| Sponsors: Individuals /Family Businesses | Given that sponsors would have to be reported with entity details, should individual sponsors/family business be reportable as sponsor, if applicable? | We do not collect data on individuals; therefore, individual sponsors should not be reported. Family businesses, however, are not under the same restriction. For example, if John and Jane Smith is the sponsor, they should not be reported. If Smith Farms is the sponsor, it should be reported. |
| Credit Agreement Date | Is the Credit Agreement Date meant to represent the date listed on the credit agreement, i.e. the date it originally closed and was signed? | The Credit Agreement Date is the effective date listed on the credit agreement, usually on the cover of the agreement. No matter when a participant purchases their portion, or when an incremental is funded, this date will not change. |
| Credit Agreement Date | Our firm uses the XML file option to report. According to the new instructions, "The first time a credit is reported, report the Credit Agreement Date as the Origination Date." Our firm maintains these two potentially different dates in different systems. How are | Generally speaking, the answer to how to get both the Credit Agreement and Origination Dates depends on how your XML files are created. Typically, some form of coding/technical support is required to retrieve and format the data as required by the XML schema; which means the solution could be handled programmatically. It might be beneficial and/or simpler to adjust your systems to include the |
| | Sponsor Definition Sponsor Definition Sponsors: Individuals /Family Businesses Credit Agreement Date Credit Agreement | Sponsor DefinitionOur institution only maintains records of sponsors defined as owning more than 50% of the current entity.Sponsor DefinitionOur firm has a sponsor that invests pension capital and is not a private equity institution. They are not the institution involved in the capital raise or structuring of the vehicle. Do they need to be reported as a sponsor?Sponsors: Individuals /Family BusinessesGiven that sponsors would have to be reported with entity details, should individual sponsors/family business be reportable as sponsor, if applicable?Credit Agreement DateIs the Credit Agreement Date meant to represent the date listed on the credit agreement, i.e. the date it originally closed and was signed?Credit Agreement DateOur firm uses the XML file option to report. According to the new instructions, "The first time a credit is reported, report the Credit Agreement Date as the Origination Date." |



| S | ubject/Field | Question | Response |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Credit Agreement Date | What about "participation date"?In cases when our firm enters into the credit agreement via participation/syndication after the original close of the credit agreement, our systems will either use the date on which we entered the credit agreement, i.e. the date of the Participation Agreement or the date upon which the client was entered into the system, | The date reported as the Credit Agreement Date should be the effective date listed on the credit agreement, not the date you entered the participation. |
| New Data Fields | Credit Agreement Identifier | The instructions state that the same value is to be assigned to all credits within the same credit agreement, but that the identifier doesn't need to be the same each reporting period. Are you expecting this identifier to change? Please clarify. | The Credit Agreement Identifier is being requested to assist the SNC Program in identifying all credits in an Agent data submission that are in the same credit agreement. It is not being used for tracking across reporting periods, as is the case with Internal Credit and Entity IDs. While we believe that the Credit Agreement Identifier should not change over time, we chose not to specify or require how they are created or maintained over time. What is required, is that in a single reporting period, the same Credit Agreement Identifier is used for all credit facilities within that credit agreement. |
| | Credit Agreement Identifier | Is the Credit Agreement Identifier created at origination? Should it change when there is a refinance/renewal? When there are material modifications made to the credit agreement? | The Credit Agreement Identifier is being requested to assist the SNC Program in identifying all credits in an Agent data submission that are in the same credit agreement. It is not being used for tracking across reporting periods, as is the case with Internal Credit and Entity IDs. Therefore, we chose not to specify or require how they are created or maintained over time. What is required, is that in a single reporting period, the same Credit Agreement Identifier is used for all credit facilities within that credit agreement. |



| S | Subject/Field | Question | Response |
|-----------------|---|---|---|
| | Subject/Field Credit Agreement Identifier New Fields – XML Reporting | Question The Credit Agreement Identifier is listed as 'Not Applicable' for Participant submissions. Why wouldn't a Participant assign this identifier? In context of the new SNC requirements starting Q3 2024, we would be interested to have clarifications regarding the following | ResponseThe Credit Agreement Identifier is being requested to assistthe SNC Program in identifying all credits in an Agent datasubmission that are in the same credit agreement. This ID isexpected to be a unique value created by the Agent/ReportingBank. This is not to be confused with the Internal Credit ID,which is required for all credits in either an Agent orParticipant submission.In addition, a Reporting Bank that is participating in asyndicated credit may not be a participant in all the credits inthat credit agreement; therefore, providing this information inthe Participant submission is less useful to the SNC Program.However, if your bank would like to provide this information,please feel free to do so in the Credit Comments field.For optional fields, certain fields (referred to as a "tag") allowan empty tag, such as CUSIP. However, in other cases, acomplex type is used which would be validated. |
| New Data Fields | | Optional fields for 2024 Reporting: Do we need to send empty value in the XML file for optional fields? The empty data set should be sent with the header and no value. OR; Should the field not be listed? Leveraged Lending (LL) Ratios - Metrics for credits identified as leveraged are new as of the September 30th, 2024, reporting period. These fields are optional (requested, but not required to complete the data submission) until Q3 2025. The empty data set should be sent with the header and no value. OR; Should the field not be listed? | For example, the XML schema will validate the Leveraged Lending Ratios fields if they are provided; therefore, the fields (tags) should be excluded when no ratios will be provided for an individual credit. Therefore, if identifying the optional complex type fields/tags which require additional validation will be a challenge, we recommend excluding optional fields/tags from the XML file. More information will be provided during upcoming informational and training sessions. |



| S | Subject/Field | Question | Response |
|-----------|---------------|---|--|
| | Deadline | Given there will be additional requirements for | As discussed in the November SNC Technology |
| | Extension | reporting and additional time required to source, validate, and confirm the new | announcement, we will provide opportunities for training and testing to validate and confirm use of the new system and |
| | | parameters, would there be an extended timeline (7+ days) for quarterly reporting? | changes to reporting. There is no planned extension to the reporting deadline at this time; as we progress through |
| | | | training, testing, and feedback phases, a determination on whether that will be necessary can be made. |
| | | | Please keep in mind that to allow time to source the new data fields, the majority will not be required for the September 30th, 2024, reporting period, but will become mandatory at a future date. |
| | Deadline | In consideration of the questions for | As discussed in the November SNC Technology |
| | Extension | clarification, and the significant additional | announcement, we will provide opportunities for training and |
| les | | data elements and reporting requirements that are to be implemented by Q3 2024, are the | testing to validate and confirm use of the new system and changes to reporting. There is no planned extension to the |
| dlir | | Agencies planning to implement this deadline | reporting deadline at this time; as we progress through |
| Deadlines | | on a best-efforts basis or consider providing additional time prior to implementation? | training, testing, and feedback phases, a determination on whether that will be necessary can be made. |
| | | Relatedly, with so many additional elements to report each submission, are the Agencies considering an extended reporting period such as 47 calendar days after the calendar quarter- end for March, June, and September and 52 calendar days after the calendar quarter-end for December, in line with the FR Y-14Q? | The new SNC Reporting requirements include thirty-two (32) new data elements. However, not all 32 new fields are required for the Q3 2024 submission . Please see the Appendix for an overall breakdown of the number of required versus optional new data elements. |
| | | | Data submissions are used to support biannual SNC examinations, which makes extending the reporting deadline |
| | | | problematic both for the Agencies and the banks being |
| | | | reviewed. Therefore, we do not have plans to extend the |
| | | | reporting deadline at this time. We will evaluate as we |
| | | | progress through the training, testing and feedback phases. |



APPENDIX

| | Supplemental Information |
|---------------|---|
| | New Data Elements The new SNC Reporting requirements include thirty-two (32) new data elements. However, you will note that not all 32 new fields are required for the Q3 2024 submission. When reviewed closely, you will find that of the 32 new data elements: |
| | One (1) should be considered an update to an existing field rather than new. Accrual status replaces the use of a dummy date in the Nonaccrual Date field for accruing credits. Nonaccrual Date will now only be required for credits that are not accruing interest. |
| Data Elements | Four (4) are required for all credits in <u>Agent Submissions</u> – Credit Agreement Date, Credit Agreement Identifier, identification of credits as being for Commercial Real Estate (CRE Indicator) and having sponsors (Sponsor Indicator)– only one (1) of those is required for all credits in <u>Participant Submissions</u> - Credit Agreement Date. |
| Data | • Two (2) are required only for credits identified as having sponsors. |
| | • Two (2) are required only for credits identified for being for Commercial Real Estate; an additional nine (9) are required only for those CRE credits that are also not REITs. |
| | • Fourteen (14) are optional, twelve (12) of which are only for credits identified as leveraged. |
| | Discontinued/Obsolete Data Elements |
| | Certain fields have been discontinued or may no longer required. For example, Concordance Ratings and Accrual Status will no longer be required for credits where the firm does not maintain risk ratings. More information will be provided during upcoming informational and training sessions. |